

PROFESSOR Jeremy Menchik

NUMBER OF STUDENTS RESPONDING: 22

NUMBER OF STUDENTS ENROLLED: 27

PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS RESPONDING: 81.48

STATISTICS REFLECT FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES

I. SECTION A: COURSE EVALUATION

		NR	1	2	3	4	5		MEAN	ST DEV
1. RELEVANCE OF ASSIGNED READINGS [(1) LOW TO (5) HIGH]	POOR	0	0	0	1	5	16	EXCELLENT	4.682	0.568
2. DIFFICULTY OF COURSE [(1) EASY TO (5) DIFFICULT]	POOR	0	0	0	12	8	0	EXCELLENT	3.400	0.503
3. WORKLOAD IN COURSE [(1) LIGHT TO (5) HEAVY]	POOR	0	0	4	11	5	1	EXCELLENT	3.143	0.793
4. OVERALL RATING OF DISCUSSION INSTRUCTOR (IF APPLICABLE)	POOR	15	0	0	0	0	6	EXCELLENT	5.000	0.000
5. OVERALL RATING OF LAB INSTRUCTOR (IF APPLICABLE)	POOR	20	0	0	0	0	1	EXCELLENT	5.000	N/A
6. USEFULNESS OF ASSIGNMENTS AND PAPERS	POOR	0	0	0	0	9	12	EXCELLENT	4.571	0.507
7. OVERALL COURSE RATING	POOR	0	0	0	0	5	17	EXCELLENT	4.773	0.429

II. SECTION B: FACULTY EVALUATION

		NR	1	2	3	4	5		MEAN	ST DEV
8. EFFECTIVENESS IN EXPLAINING CONCEPTS	POOR	0	0	0	0	5	17	EXCELLENT	4.773	0.429
9. ABILITY TO STIMULATE INTEREST IN SUBJECT	POOR	0	0	0	2	5	15	EXCELLENT	4.591	0.666
10. ENCOURAGEMENT OF CLASS PARTICIPATION	POOR	0	0	0	3	4	15	EXCELLENT	4.545	0.739
11. FAIRNESS IN GRADING	POOR	0	0	0	1	4	17	EXCELLENT	4.727	0.550
12. PROMPTNESS IN RETURNING ASSIGNMENTS	POOR	0	0	0	1	9	12	EXCELLENT	4.500	0.598
13. QUALITY OF FEEDBACK TO STUDENTS	POOR	0	0	0	5	5	12	EXCELLENT	4.318	0.839
14. AVAILABILITY OUTSIDE OF CLASS	POOR	1	0	0	1	6	13	EXCELLENT	4.600	0.598
15. OVERALL RATING OF INSTRUCTOR	POOR	0	0	0	0	6	16	EXCELLENT	4.727	0.456
16. WERE THE GRADING CRITERIA CLEARLY STATED	POOR	0	0	0	0	3	19	EXCELLENT	4.864	0.351

PROFESSOR Jeremy Menchik

NUMBER OF STUDENTS RESPONDING: 22

NUMBER OF STUDENTS ENROLLED: 27

PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS RESPONDING: 81.48

STATISTICS REFLECT PERCENTAGE OF RESPONSES

I. SECTION A: COURSE EVALUATION

		NR	1	2	3	4	5		MEAN	ST DEV
1. RELEVANCE OF ASSIGNED READINGS [(1) LOW TO (5) HIGH]	POOR	0	0	0	5	23	73	EXCELLENT	4.682	0.568
2. DIFFICULTY OF COURSE [(1) EASY TO (5) DIFFICULT]	POOR	0	0	0	55	36	0	EXCELLENT	3.400	0.503
3. WORKLOAD IN COURSE [(1) LIGHT TO (5) HEAVY]	POOR	0	0	18	50	23	5	EXCELLENT	3.143	0.793
4. OVERALL RATING OF DISCUSSION INSTRUCTOR (IF APPLICABLE)	POOR	68	0	0	0	0	27	EXCELLENT	5.000	0.000
5. OVERALL RATING OF LAB INSTRUCTOR (IF APPLICABLE)	POOR	91	0	0	0	0	5	EXCELLENT	5.000	N/A
6. USEFULNESS OF ASSIGNMENTS AND PAPERS	POOR	0	0	0	0	41	55	EXCELLENT	4.571	0.507
7. OVERALL COURSE RATING	POOR	0	0	0	0	23	77	EXCELLENT	4.773	0.429

II. SECTION B: FACULTY EVALUATION

		NR	1	2	3	4	5		MEAN	ST DEV
8. EFFECTIVENESS IN EXPLAINING CONCEPTS	POOR	0	0	0	0	23	77	EXCELLENT	4.773	0.429
9. ABILITY TO STIMULATE INTEREST IN SUBJECT	POOR	0	0	0	9	23	68	EXCELLENT	4.591	0.666
10. ENCOURAGEMENT OF CLASS PARTICIPATION	POOR	0	0	0	14	18	68	EXCELLENT	4.545	0.739
11. FAIRNESS IN GRADING	POOR	0	0	0	5	18	77	EXCELLENT	4.727	0.550
12. PROMPTNESS IN RETURNING ASSIGNMENTS	POOR	0	0	0	5	41	55	EXCELLENT	4.500	0.598
13. QUALITY OF FEEDBACK TO STUDENTS	POOR	0	0	0	23	23	55	EXCELLENT	4.318	0.839
14. AVAILABILITY OUTSIDE OF CLASS	POOR	5	0	0	5	27	59	EXCELLENT	4.600	0.598
15. OVERALL RATING OF INSTRUCTOR	POOR	0	0	0	0	27	73	EXCELLENT	4.727	0.456
16. WERE THE GRADING CRITERIA CLEARLY STATED	POOR	0	0	0	0	14	86	EXCELLENT	4.864	0.351



Department of International Relations
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number:

C	A	S					
---	---	---	--	--	--	--	--

I	R						
---	---	--	--	--	--	--	--

3	6	9					
---	---	---	--	--	--	--	--

 Section:

A	1
---	---

Course Title: Southeast Asia World Politics
Instructor: Menchik
Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions

- * Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
- * Make DARK Marks
- * Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- | | Poor | | | Excellent |
|---|------|-----|-----|-----------|
| 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 6. Usefulness of assignments and papers..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 7. Overall course rating..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |

Faculty Evaluation

- | | Poor | | | Excellent |
|---|------|-----|-----|-----------|
| 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 10. Encouragement of class participation..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 11. Fairness in grading..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 12. Promptness in returning assignments..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 13. Quality of feedback to students..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 14. Availability outside of class..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 15. Overall rating of instructor..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 16. Were the grading criteria clearly stated..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |

Please turn over and complete comments section

Please comment on:

STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:

Very knowledgeable and experienced. Shows real interest in the students and making sure we understand even gave himself a mid course evaluation to help better the class.

WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:

Sometimes talked too fast, but has addressed that in mid course eval.

GENERAL COMMENTS:

Would recommend this course and prof.



Department of International Relations
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number:

C	A	S	1	R	3	6	9
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

 Section:

--	--

Course Title: Politics of South East Asia

Instructor: Menchik

Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions

* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only

* Make DARK Marks

* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high].....
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult].....
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy].....
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable).....
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable).....
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers.....
7. Overall course rating.....

Poor Excellent

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)

Faculty Evaluation

8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts.....
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject.....
10. Encouragement of class participation.....
11. Fairness in grading.....
12. Promptness in returning assignments.....
13. Quality of feedback to students.....
14. Availability outside of class.....
15. Overall rating of instructor.....
16. Were the grading criteria clearly stated.....

Poor Excellent

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)

Please turn over and complete comments section

Please comment on:

STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:


This class is one of my favorite I've taken while at BU. It was my first real region class and Prof. Menchik was an incredible teacher who really fostered interest & made it super interesting. Prof Menchik explains things very well so its a pretty simple class

WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:

None

GENERAL COMMENTS:

Give this guy tenure!



Department of International Relations
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number:

C	A	S					
---	---	---	--	--	--	--	--

I	R				
---	---	--	--	--	--

 369 Section:

--	--

Course Title: Southeast Asian politics

Instructor: Dr. Menchik

Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions

* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only

* Make DARK Marks

* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- | | Poor | | | Excellent |
|---|------|-----|-----|-----------|
| 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 6. Usefulness of assignments and papers..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 7. Overall course rating..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |

• • • • •

Faculty Evaluation

- | | Poor | | | Excellent |
|---|------|-----|-----|-----------|
| 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 10. Encouragement of class participation..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 11. Fairness in grading..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 12. Promptness in returning assignments..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 13. Quality of feedback to students..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 14. Availability outside of class..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 15. Overall rating of instructor..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 16. Were the grading criteria clearly stated..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |

• • • • •

Please turn over and complete comments section

Please comment on:

STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:

enthusiastic about class
well structured class

WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:

Sometimes too many readings
inconsistent use of clickers

GENERAL COMMENTS:

good professor



Department of International Relations
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number:

C	A	S	I	R	3	6	9
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

 Section:

--	--

Course Title: Southeast Asia in World Politics

Instructor: Jeremy Menchik

Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions

* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only

* Make DARK Marks

* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- | | Poor | | | Excellent |
|---|------|-----|-----|-----------|
| 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 6. Usefulness of assignments and papers..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 7. Overall course rating..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |

• • • • •

Faculty Evaluation

- | | Poor | | | Excellent |
|---|------|-----|-----|-----------|
| 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 10. Encouragement of class participation..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 11. Fairness in grading..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 12. Promptness in returning assignments..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 13. Quality of feedback to students..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 14. Availability outside of class..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 15. Overall rating of instructor..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 16. Were the grading criteria clearly stated..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |

• • • • •

Please turn over and complete comments section

Please comment on:

STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:

Very engaging and well-taught. Professor Menchik really wants to see his students succeed. He balances class discussion w/ lectured material very nicely. Material is very relevant, and broad enough that you don't need a strong background in IR.

WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:

GENERAL COMMENTS:

Would recommend to anyone interested in world politics.



Department of International Relations
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number:

C	A	S	I	R	3	6	9
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

 Section:

--	--

Course Title: South East Asia and World Politics

Instructor: Menclik

Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions

* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only

* Make DARK Marks

* Example: ① ② ③ ● ⑤

Course Evaluation

- | | Poor | | | Excellent |
|---|------|---|---|-----------|
| 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ● |
| 2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]..... | ① | ② | ● | ④ ⑤ |
| 3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]..... | ① | ② | ● | ④ ⑤ |
| 4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ⑤ |
| 5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ⑤ |
| 6. Usefulness of assignments and papers..... | ① | ② | ③ | ● ⑤ |
| 7. Overall course rating..... | ① | ② | ③ | ● ⑤ |
| | • | • | • | • • |

Faculty Evaluation

- | | Poor | | | Excellent |
|---|------|---|---|-----------|
| 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ● |
| 9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ● |
| 10. Encouragement of class participation..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ● |
| 11. Fairness in grading..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ● |
| 12. Promptness in returning assignments..... | ① | ② | ③ | ● ⑤ |
| 13. Quality of feedback to students..... | ① | ② | ③ | ● ⑤ |
| 14. Availability outside of class..... | ① | ② | ③ | ● ⑤ |
| 15. Overall rating of instructor..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ● |
| 16. Were the grading criteria clearly stated..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ● |
| | • | • | • | • • |

Please turn over and complete comments section

Please comment on:


STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:

The country studies were helpful to gain a background on countries that I had little prior ~~know~~ knowledge on.

WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:

The readings on the pre colonial period was dense and difficult to glean any worthwhile knowledge because there was alot of names and dates that weren't really relevant later on.

GENERAL COMMENTS:



Department of International Relations
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number:

C	A	S	IR	3	6	9
---	---	---	----	---	---	---

 Section:

A	1
---	---

Course Title: Southeast Asian Politics

Instructor: Professor Jeremy Menchik

Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions

* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only

* Make DARK Marks

* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- | | Poor | | | Excellent |
|---|------|-----|-----|-----------|
| 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 6. Usefulness of assignments and papers..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 7. Overall course rating..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |

• • • • •

Faculty Evaluation

- | | Poor | | | Excellent |
|---|------|-----|-----|-----------|
| 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 10. Encouragement of class participation..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 11. Fairness in grading..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 12. Promptness in returning assignments..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 13. Quality of feedback to students..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 14. Availability outside of class..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 15. Overall rating of instructor..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 16. Were the grading criteria clearly stated..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |

• • • • •

Please turn over and complete comments section

Please comment on:

STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:

- amazing professor - taught clearly, generated a lot of interest in the region, accomplished.

WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:

- Not enough discussion of material
- A lot of reading → hard to keep up with at times.

GENERAL COMMENTS:



Department of International Relations
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number:

C	A	S					
---	---	---	--	--	--	--	--

I	R						
---	---	--	--	--	--	--	--

3	6	9					
---	---	---	--	--	--	--	--

 Section:

A	1						
---	---	--	--	--	--	--	--

Course Title: Southeast Asia & World Politics

Instructor: Menchik

Semester/Year: Spring 2014

Instructions

* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only

* Make DARK Marks

* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- | | Poor | | | | Excellent |
|---|------|-----|-----|-----|-----------|
| 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 6. Usefulness of assignments and papers..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 7. Overall course rating..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |

Faculty Evaluation

- | | Poor | | | | Excellent |
|---|------|-----|-----|-----|-----------|
| 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 10. Encouragement of class participation..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 11. Fairness in grading..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 12. Promptness in returning assignments..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 13. Quality of feedback to students..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 14. Availability outside of class..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 15. Overall rating of instructor..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 16. Were the grading criteria clearly stated..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |

Please turn over and complete comments section

Please comment on:

STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:

very good at explaining concepts
very clear criteria
relevance to outside of classroom.

WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:

talk slower!

GENERAL COMMENTS:

Absolutely loved this course!



Department of International Relations
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number:

C	A	S	I	R	3	6	9
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

 Section:

A	1
---	---

Course Title: International Relation of South East Asia

Instructor: Professor Menchik

Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions

* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only

* Make DARK Marks

* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- | | Poor | | | Excellent |
|---|------|-----|-----|-----------|
| 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 6. Usefulness of assignments and papers..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 7. Overall course rating..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |

• • • • •

Faculty Evaluation

- | | Poor | | | Excellent |
|---|------|-----|-----|-----------|
| 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 10. Encouragement of class participation..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 11. Fairness in grading..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 12. Promptness in returning assignments..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 13. Quality of feedback to students..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 14. Availability outside of class..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 15. Overall rating of instructor..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 16. Were the grading criteria clearly stated..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |

• • • • •

Please turn over and complete comments section



Please comment on:
STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:

WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:

GENERAL COMMENTS:





Department of International Relations
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number:

C	A	S	IR	3	6	9
---	---	---	----	---	---	---

 Section:

A	1
---	---

Course Title: Southeast Asia in World Politics

Instructor: Menchik

Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions

* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only

* Make DARK Marks

* Example: ① ② ③ ● ⑤

Course Evaluation

- | | Poor | | | Excellent |
|---|------|---|---|-----------|
| 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ⑤ |
| 2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ⑤ |
| 3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ⑤ |
| 4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ⑤ |
| 5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ⑤ |
| 6. Usefulness of assignments and papers..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ⑤ |
| 7. Overall course rating..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ⑤ |

• • • • •

Faculty Evaluation

- | | Poor | | | Excellent |
|---|------|---|---|-----------|
| 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ⑤ |
| 9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ⑤ |
| 10. Encouragement of class participation..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ⑤ |
| 11. Fairness in grading..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ⑤ |
| 12. Promptness in returning assignments..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ⑤ |
| 13. Quality of feedback to students..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ⑤ |
| 14. Availability outside of class..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ⑤ |
| 15. Overall rating of instructor..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ⑤ |
| 16. Were the grading criteria clearly stated..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ⑤ |

• • • • •

Please turn over and complete comments section

Please comment on:

STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:

Stimulating, knowledgeable, good spacing of assignments, good readings

WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:

N/A

GENERAL COMMENTS:

Thanks for teaching this class, it's really important and I wish more people got to take it!



Department of International Relations
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number:

C	A	S	IR	3	6	9
---	---	---	----	---	---	---

 Section:

--	--

Course Title: South East Asian Politics

Instructor: Prof. Jerry Mendelk

Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions

* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only

* Make DARK Marks

* Example: ① ② ③ ● ⑤

Course Evaluation

- | | Poor | | | Excellent |
|---|------|---|-----|-----------|
| 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ● |
| 2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]..... | ① | ② | ③ ● | ④ ⑤ |
| 3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]..... | ① | ② | ③ ● | ④ ⑤ |
| 4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ⑤ |
| 5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ⑤ |
| 6. Usefulness of assignments and papers..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ● |
| 7. Overall course rating..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ● |

• • • • •

Faculty Evaluation

- | | Poor | | | Excellent |
|---|------|---|---|-----------|
| 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ● |
| 9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ● |
| 10. Encouragement of class participation..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ● |
| 11. Fairness in grading..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ● |
| 12. Promptness in returning assignments..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ● ⑤ |
| 13. Quality of feedback to students..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ● |
| 14. Availability outside of class..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ● |
| 15. Overall rating of instructor..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ● |
| 16. Were the grading criteria clearly stated..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ● |

• • • • •

Please turn over and complete comments section

Please comment on:

STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:

Excellent class. Instructor always cared more about us learning over everything. Loved the Instructor.

WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:

GENERAL COMMENTS:

Would take a class again with him



Department of International Relations
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number:

C	A	S					
---	---	---	--	--	--	--	--

I	R						
---	---	--	--	--	--	--	--

3	6	9					
---	---	---	--	--	--	--	--

 Section:

A	1						
---	---	--	--	--	--	--	--

Course Title: INTRO TO SOUTHEAST ASIAN POLITICS

Instructor: JEREMY MENCHIK

Semester/Year: SPRING 2016

Instructions

* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only

* Make DARK Marks

* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- | | Poor | | Excellent |
|---|---------------------|--|-----------|
| 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]..... | (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) | | |
| 2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]..... | (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) | | |
| 3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]..... | (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) | | |
| 4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)..... | (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) | | |
| 5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)..... | (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) | | |
| 6. Usefulness of assignments and papers..... | (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) | | |
| 7. Overall course rating..... | (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) | | |

Faculty Evaluation

- | | Poor | | Excellent |
|---|---------------------|--|-----------|
| 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts..... | (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) | | |
| 9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject..... | (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) | | |
| 10. Encouragement of class participation..... | (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) | | |
| 11. Fairness in grading..... | (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) | | |
| 12. Promptness in returning assignments..... | (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) | | |
| 13. Quality of feedback to students..... | (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) | | |
| 14. Availability outside of class..... | (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) | | |
| 15. Overall rating of instructor..... | (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) | | |
| 16. Were the grading criteria clearly stated..... | (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) | | |

Please turn over and complete comments section

Please comment on:

STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:

WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:


→ Too much focus on Indonesia

→ More focus on current politics and less on history (country case studies)?

→ Pacing of lecture was too fast (but improved after mid-semester eval!)

→ Too much reading, compared to in-class learning

GENERAL COMMENTS:



Department of International Relations
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number:

C	A	S	1	R	3	4	9
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

 Section:

--	--

Course Title: South East Asian Politics

Instructor: Jeremy Menchuck

Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions

* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only

* Make DARK Marks

* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- | | Poor | | | Excellent |
|---|------|-----|-----|-----------|
| 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 6. Usefulness of assignments and papers..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 7. Overall course rating..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |

• • • • •

Faculty Evaluation

- | | Poor | | | Excellent |
|---|------|-----|-----|-----------|
| 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 10. Encouragement of class participation..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 11. Fairness in grading..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 12. Promptness in returning assignments..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 13. Quality of feedback to students..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 14. Availability outside of class..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 15. Overall rating of instructor..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 16. Were the grading criteria clearly stated..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |

• • • • •

Please turn over and complete comments section

Please comment on:

STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:

Very knowledgeable in the field, very interesting to talk to and encouraged debates in class. Very fair. Lovely guy too!

WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:

Talked a little fast

GENERAL COMMENTS:

Thank you for a great semester, I learnt a lot that I would have never learnt and I want to continue in this field.



Department of International Relations
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number:

C	A	S	I	R	3	4	9
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

 Section:

--	--

Course Title: Southeast Asia in World Politics

Instructor: Membrillo

Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions

* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only

* Make DARK Marks

* Example: ① ② ③ ● ⑤

Course Evaluation

- | | Poor | | | Excellent |
|---|------|---|-----|-----------|
| 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ● |
| 2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ● ⑤ |
| 3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]..... | ① | ② | ③ ● | ④ ⑤ |
| 4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ⑤ |
| 5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ⑤ |
| 6. Usefulness of assignments and papers..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ● ⑤ |
| 7. Overall course rating..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ● ⑤ |
| | • | • | • | • • |

Faculty Evaluation

- | | Poor | | | Excellent |
|---|------|---|---|-----------|
| 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ● |
| 9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ● |
| 10. Encouragement of class participation..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ● |
| 11. Fairness in grading..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ● |
| 12. Promptness in returning assignments..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ● |
| 13. Quality of feedback to students..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ● |
| 14. Availability outside of class..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ● |
| 15. Overall rating of instructor..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ● |
| 16. Were the grading criteria clearly stated..... | ① | ② | ③ | ④ ● |
| | • | • | • | • • |

Please turn over and complete comments section

Please comment on:


STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:

Professor was extremely clear, engaging and obviously
~~was~~ an expert at the subjects.

WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:

GENERAL COMMENTS:

Thought the class was great, well structured,
thorough, and interesting



Department of International Relations
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number:

C	A	S	I	R	3	7	2
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

 Section:

A	1
---	---

Course Title: Southeast Asia: International Politics

Instructor: Manchik

Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions

* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only

* Make DARK Marks

* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- | | Poor | | | Excellent |
|---|------|-----|-----|-----------|
| 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 6. Usefulness of assignments and papers..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 7. Overall course rating..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |

• • • • •

Faculty Evaluation

- | | Poor | | | Excellent |
|---|------|-----|-----|-----------|
| 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 10. Encouragement of class participation..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 11. Fairness in grading..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 12. Promptness in returning assignments..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 13. Quality of feedback to students..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 14. Availability outside of class..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 15. Overall rating of instructor..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 16. Were the grading criteria clearly stated..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |

• • • • •

Please turn over and complete comments section

Please comment on:


STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:

- ability to explain concepts
- patient when students do not understand.

WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:

GENERAL COMMENTS:

- the clicker questions ~~which~~ were useful
↳ good way to review for midterms



Department of International Relations
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number:

C	A	S	I	R	3	6	9
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

 Section:

--	--

Course Title: South East Asian Politics

Instructor: Menchik

Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions

* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only

* Make DARK Marks

* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- | | Poor | | | Excellent |
|---|------|-----|-----|-----------|
| 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 6. Usefulness of assignments and papers..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 7. Overall course rating..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |

• • • • •

Faculty Evaluation

- | | Poor | | | Excellent |
|---|------|-----|-----|-----------|
| 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 10. Encouragement of class participation..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 11. Fairness in grading..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 12. Promptness in returning assignments..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 13. Quality of feedback to students..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 14. Availability outside of class..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 15. Overall rating of instructor..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 16. Were the grading criteria clearly stated..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |

• • • • •

Please turn over and complete comments section

Please comment on:

STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:


- very interesting subject matter explained well
- good use of connections across material
- engaging & responsive

WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:

- I know we were limited by time but would be interesting to do more on theory & current events

GENERAL COMMENTS:

- really enjoyed the Rinaldo lecture
- very good course - glad I took it!



Department of International Relations
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number:

C	A	S	IR	369
---	---	---	----	-----

 Section:

--	--

Course Title: S. EAST ASIAN POLITICS
Instructor: JEREMY MENCHIK
Semester/Year: Spring 2015

Instructions

- * Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
- * Make DARK Marks
- * Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- | | Poor | | | Excellent |
|---|------|-----|-----|-----------|
| 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 6. Usefulness of assignments and papers..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 7. Overall course rating..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| | • | • | • | • • |

Faculty Evaluation

- | | Poor | | | Excellent |
|---|------|-----|-----|-----------|
| 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 10. Encouragement of class participation..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 11. Fairness in grading..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 12. Promptness in returning assignments..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 13. Quality of feedback to students..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 14. Availability outside of class..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 15. Overall rating of instructor..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 16. Were the grading criteria clearly stated..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| | • | • | • | • • |

Please turn over and complete comments section


Please comment on:

STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:

WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:

GENERAL COMMENTS:

- You're ⁴great professor, thank you!



Department of International Relations
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number:

C	A	S					
---	---	---	--	--	--	--	--

 Section:

--	--

Course Title: _____

Instructor: _____

Semester/Year: _____

Instructions

* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only

* Make DARK Marks

* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- | | Poor | | | Excellent |
|---|------|-----|-----|-----------|
| 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 6. Usefulness of assignments and papers..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 7. Overall course rating..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |

• • • • •

Faculty Evaluation

- | | Poor | | | Excellent |
|---|------|-----|-----|-----------|
| 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 10. Encouragement of class participation..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 11. Fairness in grading..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 12. Promptness in returning assignments..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 13. Quality of feedback to students..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 14. Availability outside of class..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 15. Overall rating of instructor..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 16. Were the grading criteria clearly stated..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |

• • • • •

Please turn over and complete comments section


Please comment on:

STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:

- Structure is very convenient as most assignments are due before the busiest times of the semester.
- Instructor ~~is~~ demonstrates passion for the subject taught and cares for students' performance in the class.

WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:

GENERAL COMMENTS:



Department of International Relations
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number:

C	A	S	1	2	3	6	9
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

 Section:

--	--

Course Title: Southeast Asia in World Politics

Instructor: Professor Jeremy Menchik

Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions

- * Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
- * Make DARK Marks
- * Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- | | Poor | | | | Excellent |
|---|------|-----|-----|-----|-----------|
| 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 6. Usefulness of assignments and papers..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 7. Overall course rating..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| | • | • | • | • | • |

Faculty Evaluation

- | | Poor | | | | Excellent |
|---|------|-----|-----|-----|-----------|
| 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 10. Encouragement of class participation..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 11. Fairness in grading..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 12. Promptness in returning assignments..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 13. Quality of feedback to students..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 14. Availability outside of class..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 15. Overall rating of instructor..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 16. Were the grading criteria clearly stated..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| | • | • | • | • | • |

Please turn over and complete comments section

Please comment on:

STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:


- Engage students through anonymous ditto-questions
- Course review before exams
- Rubrics for assignments provided
- Professor is humble and humorous

WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:

- Wish we took a look at Vietnam

GENERAL COMMENTS:

Professor Mendrite really cares about the success of his students and ensures that we have the proper tools and knowledge needed to thrive in his class. He is definitely one of the best professors I've had - ever. I always tell my friends in IR about how great and fun his class is, and I will remember him beyond college.



Department of International Relations
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: C A S I R 3 6 9 Section:

Course Title: Southeast Asian Politics

Instructor: Menchick

Semester/Year: Spring 2006

Instructions

- * Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
- * Make DARK Marks
- * Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- | | Poor | | | Excellent |
|---|------|---------|---------|-----------|
| 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) (4) | (5) |
| 3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]..... | (1) | (2) (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 6. Usefulness of assignments and papers..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 7. Overall course rating..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| | • | • | • | • |

Faculty Evaluation

- | | Poor | | | Excellent |
|---|------|-----|-----|-----------|
| 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 10. Encouragement of class participation..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 11. Fairness in grading..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 12. Promptness in returning assignments..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 13. Quality of feedback to students..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 14. Availability outside of class..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 15. Overall rating of instructor..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| 16. Were the grading criteria clearly stated..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) (5) |
| | • | • | • | • |

Please turn over and complete comments section

Please comment on:

STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:

Prof. Menchick really cares about students and that we are learning (seen through clicker questions). he makes course load managable yet still thorough. I never felt overwhelmed, but I still felt challenged. The response papers were helpful + spaced out evenly through the semester. The video/film we watched was interesting and so was the skype session w/ Rachel Rinaldo.

WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:

- could make material more interesting
- could stimulate debate/discussion in class more.

GENERAL COMMENTS:

LOVE the idea of having 20 minutes during last class of semester to discuss where to go from here (careers + more classes/grad programs)! EVERY class should do this. very excited for that.

Thanks for an interesting and managable course, Prof. Menchick!



Course Number:

C	A	S
---	---	---

I	R
---	---

3	6	9
---	---	---

 Section:

--	--

Course Title: Southeast Asian World Politics

Instructor: Professor Menchik

Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions

- * Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
- * Make DARK Marks
- * Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- | | Poor | | | | Excellent |
|---|------|-----|-----|-----|-----------|
| 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 6. Usefulness of assignments and papers..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 7. Overall course rating..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| | • | • | • | • | • |

Faculty Evaluation

- | | Poor | | | | Excellent |
|---|------|-----|-----|-----|-----------|
| 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 10. Encouragement of class participation..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 11. Fairness in grading..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 12. Promptness in returning assignments..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 13. Quality of feedback to students..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 14. Availability outside of class..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 15. Overall rating of instructor..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 16. Were the grading criteria clearly stated..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| | • | • | • | • | • |

Please turn over and complete comments section

Please comment on:

STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:

- Clearly an expert on subject
- Has best interest of student in mind
- Great advice for students beyond classroom
- Great discussions

WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:

- Lectures could be more engaging
- More interactions between students

GENERAL COMMENTS:



Course Number:

C	A	S
---	---	---

I	R
---	---

3	6	9
---	---	---

 Section:

--	--

Course Title: South East Asia World Politics

Instructor: Dr Jeremy Menschik

Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions

- * Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
- * Make DARK Marks
- * Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

	Poor				Excellent
1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high].....	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult].....	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy].....	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable).....	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable).....	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers.....	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
7. Overall course rating.....	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	•	•	•	•	•

Faculty Evaluation

	Poor				Excellent
8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts.....	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject.....	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
10. Encouragement of class participation.....	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
11. Fairness in grading.....	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
12. Promptness in returning assignments.....	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
13. Quality of feedback to students.....	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
14. Availability outside of class.....	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
15. Overall rating of instructor.....	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
16. Were the grading criteria clearly stated.....	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	•	•	•	•	•

Please turn over and complete comments section

Please comment on:

STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:

- Significant detailed coverage of a number of countries
- Energetic way of teaching
- Asked for our feedback mid-semester & fixed what he could
- Thorough explanation of key concepts

WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:

- Didn't cover a lot of interesting countries I would have liked to learn about (but I understand why)
- Moved very quickly
- Read from paper so sometimes it wasn't that engaging

GENERAL COMMENTS:

Loved the class and learned a lot. Highly recommended! :-
Awesome professor!
(probably one of my favorites)



Course Number:

C	A	S
---	---	---

1	R
---	---

3	6	9
---	---	---

 Section:

A	1
---	---

Course Title: IR of Southeast Asia

Instructor: Jeremy Menchik

Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions

- * Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
- * Make DARK Marks
- * Example: (1) (2) (3) ● (5)

Course Evaluation

- | | Poor | | | | Excellent |
|---|------|-------|-----|-------|-----------|
| 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | ● (5) |
| 2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | ● (4) | (5) |
| 3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]..... | (1) | ● (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 6. Usefulness of assignments and papers..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | ● (4) | (5) |
| 7. Overall course rating..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | ● (4) | (5) |
| | • | • | • | • | • |

Faculty Evaluation

- | | Poor | | | | Excellent |
|---|------|-----|-------|-------|-----------|
| 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | ● (4) | (5) |
| 9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | ● (4) | (5) |
| 10. Encouragement of class participation..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | ● (5) |
| 11. Fairness in grading..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | ● (4) | (5) |
| 12. Promptness in returning assignments..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | ● (4) | (5) |
| 13. Quality of feedback to students..... | (1) | (2) | ● (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 14. Availability outside of class..... | (1) | (2) | ✗ (3) | ● (4) | (5) |
| 15. Overall rating of instructor..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | ● (4) | (5) |
| 16. Were the grading criteria clearly stated..... | (1) | (2) | (3) | ● (4) | (5) |
| | • | • | • | • | • |

Please turn over and complete comments section

Please comment on:

STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:

This is the only IR class I've taken where the prof has explained patterns in history AND applied it to modern cases. In all my other IR classes, it's just history and what happened. This class discussed fundamental concepts of IR and applied it to modern day political systems. I've learnt why things are the way they are and how new change occurs.

WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:

The concepts are a little too complicated for a 300 level class. More suited for a graduate level class.
A bit too much info & readings.

GENERAL COMMENTS:

I'm not interested in Southeast Asia but I really enjoyed this class and learnt a lot.
| Prof. Menchik is great!